UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,718	06/27/2003	Kevin T. Rowney	006224.P001X3	9417	
Marina Portnova BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			EXAMINER		
			DAYE, CHELCIE L		
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Los Angeles, C	Los Angeles, CA 90025		2161		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Commence	10/607,718 ROWNEY ET AL		
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHELCIE DAYE	2161	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>CHELCIE DAYE</u> .	(3) <u>Marina Portnova</u> .		
(2) <u>Joan Arbolante</u> .	(4) <u>Delos Larson</u> .		
Date of Interview: 21 July 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) <mark> applicant's representati</mark> ve	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Bradshaw (US Patent)	No. 5,835,722) and Shannon (US Patent No. 6	<u>,233,618)</u> .
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ♀	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
reached, or any other comments: To begin, a brief overviewapplicants discussed proposed amendments to the claims such features (i.e. the abstract data structure containing posearch and consideration would be needed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ADDITIONAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDED ADDITIONAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDED ADDITIONAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDED ADDITIONAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDED ADDITIONAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE WRITTEN REPLY TO THE SUBSTANCE OF THE S	and how they believed the appositional information). The examiner agreements which the examiner agreements that will be a second of the amendments that will be last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	polied prior art fail miner indicated to reed would rend yould render the E SUBSTANCE (to been filed, APP of DAYS FROM TO WHICHEVER IS	er the claims claims OF THE PLICANT IS FHIS FLATER, TO
	/Apu M Mofiz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2161	

Application No.

Applicant(s)